IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Donald A. Kubik, et al.

Examiner:

Cephia D. Toomer

Serial No.:

10/676,752

Group Art Unit: 1714

Filed:

10/01/2003

Date:

06/11/2007

Customer No.: 28862

Docket No.:

NTIC-F-CON-CIP

(NTICP0113B)

For:

CORROSION INHIBITING COMPOSITION AND ARTICLE CONTAINING

Mail Stop: ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

(Facsimile: No. 1-571-273-8300 – Pages 15)

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the attached AMENDMENT UNDER 37 \$1.312 AND APPLICANTS' STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW is being transmitted herewith via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313, on June 11, 2007.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which might be required or credit any overpayment of fees with regard to the attached document(s) to Account No. 08-3150.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA

& Hudal

By: Daniel J. Húdak

Registration No. 25,879

DJH/Ib

2020 Front Street, Suite 307 Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221 Telephone: (330) 535-2220 Facsimile: (330) 535-1435

CENTRAL FAX CENTER 0. 6909 JUN # 1 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Donald A. Kubik, et al.

Examiner:

Cephia D. Toomer

Serial No.:

10/676,752

Group Art Unit: 1714

Filed:

10/01/2003

Date:

06/11/2007

Customer No.: 28862

Docket No.:

NTIC-F-CON-CIP

(NTICP0113B)

For:

CORROSION INHIBITING COMPOSITION AND ARTICLE CONTAINING IT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICANTS' STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Sir:

As required by 37 CFR §1.133(b) Applicant submits a Statement of the Substance of the Interview of May 1, 2007.

A telephone interview was held by the undersigned with Examiner Toomer on May 1, 2007. During the course of the interview it was noted that since various claims such as 3, 23-25, 32, 34, and 36 related to a biodegradable polymer and were not rejected upon any prior art, independent claims 1 and 26 were amended to relate to only a biodegradable polymer and would thus be allowable.

With regard to independent claims 40 and 47, it was argued as set forth in the amendment dated February 20, 2007, that the basis Boerwinkle reference did not teach or suggest an alkali metal silicate or zinc oxide. While the Schmidt reference did teach zinc oxide it did not teach an alkali metal silicate and while the Wysong reference did teach an alkali metal silicate, it did not teach zinc oxide. Moreover, neither reference taught or suggested Applicants' volatile corrosion inhibitor. Thus, data was presented on page 15 of the amendment from the application showing that the addition of either an alkali metal silicate or zinc oxide, as claimed, resulted in unexpected improved properties. Accordingly, the Examiner agreed that such data overcame the prior art of record.

The Applicant thanks Examiner Toomer for the courtesy of granting an interview to Applicant's representative.

Respectfully submitted,

HUDAK, SHUNK & FARINE CO. LPA

By: Daniel J. Hudak Registration No. 25,879

DJH/lb

2020 Front Street Suite 307 Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221-3257 (330) 535-2220

Attorney Docket No.: NTIC-F-CON-CIP (NTICP0113B)